


SEIS Alternative Screening Process



Alternative NPI is the PA Turnpike/NJTA Recommended Preferred Alternative for the reasons presented on the Comparison of Impacts and Alternative Differences Display Boards


Note: The PA Turnpike's and NJTA's Recommended Preferred Alternative is not a final decision. FHWA, in coordination with the PA Turnpike and NJTA, will identify a Preferred Alternative in the Draft SEIS and the Selected Alternative as part of the Record of Decision. FHWA will consider all relevant information, including public and agency comments, to reach their decision.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Process and Project Development



- Ensures that federal agencies consider the potential impacts of their actions on the natural, cultural, and socioeconomic environment
- Provides the public with the opportunity to be involved in the project and offer feedback prior to an agency's decision

- Involves a process that informs federal agency decision-making
- Requires thorough documentation to verify that the process was followed
- Concludes with the selection of an alternative that would be advanced into final design and construction



\$600 Million Federal Grant Recently Received

On April 1, 2026, the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT) awarded a Federal Fiscal Year 2025 Bridge Investment Program (BIP) Large Bridge Grant to the project, which needs to be matched by Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission/New Jersey Turnpike Authority funding.

Discussions are ongoing with Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) regarding the grant agreement as well as related funding obligation and construction requirements.

Schedules for Final Design, Right of Way Acquisition, and Construction depend upon BIP Grant Commitments and the selected construction procurement method (i.e. Design-Build, Design-Bid-Build, etc.).

Additional updates regarding these schedules will be communicated once they are known.

Environmental Resources Map - NPI Alternative

Note: Study area does not represent property impacts



Preliminary - June 2026

Environmental Resources Map - NSA Alternative

Note: Study area does not represent property impacts



Preliminary - June 2026

Section 106 Review Process



C
O
N
S
U
L
T
A
T
I
O
N



INITIATE the process

- Determine undertaking
- Coordinate with other reviews
- Identify SHPO/THPO, Indian tribes/NHOs, and other parties
- Plan to involve the public

Undertaking with potential to affect historic properties?

YES

NO



36 CFR § 800.3-7

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

Area of Potential Effects

Native Hawaiian Organization

State Historic Preservation Office

Tribal Historic Preservation Office



IDENTIFY historic properties

- Determine APE and scope of effort
- Make reasonable and good faith effort to identify
- Determine National Register eligibility
- Consult SHPO/THPO, Indian tribes/NHOs, and other parties
- Involve the public

WE ARE HERE

Historic properties present or affected?

YES

NO



ASSESS adverse effects

- Apply Criteria of Adverse Effects
- Consult SHPO/THPO, Indian tribes/NHOs, and other parties
- Involve the public

Historic properties adversely affected?

YES

NO



RESOLVE adverse effects

- Develop and consider alternatives or modifications to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects
- Notify the ACHP
- Consult SHPO/THPO, Indian tribes/NHOs, and other parties
- Involve the public

AGREEMENT or Council Comment

PROCEED